PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Fr IN) L	MATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORI	TY		\5.7°	
To:			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 bis.1) Date of mailing (daymonthyear) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
	see form PCT/ISA/220				
Appl see	licant's or agent's file reference of form PCT/ISA/220				
		emational filing date (c 3.08.2004	ley/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15.08.2003	
	mational Patent Classification (IPC) or both 4J3/24	national classification	and IPC		
	licant RATEX TECHNOLOGY LIMITED				
2.	 ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Certain documents 	of opinion with rega ention ent under Rule 43 <i>bls</i> es and explanations cited he international app	ard to novelty, invention in the standard to supporting such standard lication	ve step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial tement	
If a demand for International preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is Invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3.	For further details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.			
			•	•	

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

)

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Bernardini, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4209



WOTTEN OPINION OF THE IN . ZRNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003498

	Box N	lo. I	Basis of the opinion					
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	. la	ngua	oinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the oge , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purp Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	original language into the following poses of international search	ng			
2.	With reneces	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. type	e of m	naterial:					
		a se	equence listing					
		table	e(s) related to the sequence listing	÷				
	b. forn	nat of	material:					
		in w	rritten format					
		in co	omputer readable form	X.				
	c. time	of fili	ing/furnishing:					
		cont	tained in the international application as filed.					
		filed	together with the international application in computer readable fo	form.	•			
		furni	ished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	. •				
3.	ha co	as bee	tion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence en filed or furnished, the required statements that the information ir is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond riate, were furnished.	in the subsequent or additional	ito			
	ماها المالية	1	nommonts:					

	Во	x No. II	Priority				
1.,	×	The fol	lowing document h	as not be	en furnishe	d:	
		⊠	copy of the earlier	applicatio	n whose p	riority has been claimed (Rule	43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the	earlier app	lication wh	ose priority has been claimed ((Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).
		Consec neverth	quently it has not b reless been establi	een possil shed on th	ole to consi ne assumpt	der the validity of the priority coion that the relevant date is the	laim. This opinion has e claimed priority date.
2.		has be	oin io n has been est en found invalid (R ate indicated above	ules 43bis	.1 and 64.1	rity had been claimed due to the land of the land of the purposes of the the relevant date.	ne fact that the priority claim s opinion, the international
3.	Add	ditional o	bservations, if nec	essary:			
						•	. *
		•				•	
		x No. V Justrial a	Reasoned state	ment und	ler Rule 43 explanatio	bls.1(a)(i) with regard to nowns supporting such stateme	velty, Inventive step or nt
1.	Sta	tement	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		·		
	Nov	veltv (N)		Yes:	Claims	2-9,11,13,15-19,22,23	•
		,		No:	Claims	1,10,12,14,20,21	
	inv	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims	3-9,16-19	
			• • •	No:	Claims	1,2,10-15,20-23	
	Ind	ustrial ap	oplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-23	
_	0"		nd explanations				

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 2003/002474 A1 (WONG DAVID ET AL) 2 January 2003 (2003-01-02)

D2: US-A-6 058 109 (LECHLEIDER JOSEPH WILLIAM) 2 May 2000 (2000-05-02)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1 and 14

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (see paragraphs 15-22,53,54 and figure 2) a data merge unit for providing an interleaved data stream including data frames received on two or more input channels, where the data frames are arranged in time slots of the interleaved data stream, which include:

- an input to receive data frames from two or more input channels;
- a frame merge buffer arranged to received data frames and store them
- an output generator to generate the interleaved data stream

The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of claim 14

- 3 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 10,12,20 and 21
 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 10,12,20 and 21 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT (see D1 paragraphs 15-22,53,54 and figure 2).
- 4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2,11,13,15,22,23
 - 4.1 Although, at present, it seems that the combinations of features of claims 11,13,22 and 23 is new under Article 33(2) PCT, the distinguishing features do not add anything of inventive significance to D1 because they do not seem to solve any technical problem, and thus, they would be a matter of design option to the person skilled in the art, therefore the subject matter of claims 11,13,22 and 23 is not inventive, according to Article 33(3) PCT.
 - 4.2 The subject matter of dependent claims 2 and 15 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT (see D1 and D2 column 7 line 14 column 8 line 18)

RITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003498

The subject matter of claims 3-9 and 16-19 is new and inventive according to Articles 33(1) and 33(2) PCT because the combination of the features in there described are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.